

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,272	09/10/2003	Jin-Hee Kim	1567.1054	4031
	7590 12/28/2007		EXAM	INER
1400 EYE STR	/EN & BUI, LLP EET, NW		WEINER, LAURA S	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	. <u>-</u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/658,272	KIM ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Laura S. Weiner	1795
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHI - Exte afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)
Status			
		action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	tion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-21</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12 and 21</u> is/are rejected.	election requirement. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan content of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan content of	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	·		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Infon	et(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Def No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-20 in the reply filed on 8-18-06 is acknowledged. The election of species of additive of Formula (1), (bisphenol A) where R1 and R2 are hydroxyl groups and R3, R4 are methyl groups and a solvent comprising a cyclic carbonate and a linear carbonate is acknowledged. Group II, claim 21 has also been examined. An additive comprising compound Formula (2) has also been examined.
- 2. Claims 13-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 8-18-06.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 12-10-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. No terminal disclaimers have been filed so the double patenting rejections still stand.
- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

5. Claims 1-2, 4-12, 21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/817,761. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Application No. 10/817,761 claims in claims 1 and 5, an electrolyte comprising a lithium salt, an organic solvent and an additive compound which initiates decomposition at between 4V and 5V which is selected from a bisphenol A compound. Application No. 10/817,781 claims in claims 6-9, that the additive compound is used in an amount of 0.01-10 wt%. Application No. 10/817,761 claims in claim 10 that the additive forms a passivation layer on the surface of a positive electrode. Application No. 10/817,761 claims in claims 11-15, the same lithium salts present in the same concentrations and the same organic solvents.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. Claims 1-2, 7-12, 21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6-13, 26-27, 41 of U.S. Patent No. 7, 223,500. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because US 7, 223,500 claims in claim 1, an electrolyte of a lithium secondary battery comprising lithium salts, a first organic solvent and a carbonate-based additive. U.S. Patent No. 7, 223,500 claims in claims 26-27, that the electrolyte further comprises a swelling-inhibiting additive such as bisphenol. U.S.

Patent No. 7, 223,500 claims in claims 6-7, that the lithium salts are LiPF6, LiBF4, LiSbF6, etc. and are present in a concentration of 0.6-2.0 M. U.S. Patent No. 7, 223,500 claims in claims 11-13, that the electrolyte comprises a first organic solvent such as EC and a second solvent comprises methylpropyl carbonate, methylethyl carbonate, etc.

Since U.S. Patent No. 7, 223,500 claims the same electrolyte comprising a lithium salt, an organic solvent and a bisphenol A additive then inherently the additive forms a passivation layer on the surface of the positive electrode must also be obtained.

In addition, the presently claimed property of the additive forms a passivation layer on the surface of the positive electrode would have obviously have been present once the U.S. Patent No. 7, 223,500 product is provided. *In re Best, 195 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1977)*.

U.S. Patent No. 7,223,500 claims the claimed invention accept for specifically teaching that 0.01-10 wt% of the bisphenol A additive is present.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use 0.1-10 wt% of the bisphenol A additive, since it has been held that where general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.*

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S. Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,272

Art Unit: 1795

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571/272-1000.

Laura S Weiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795

December 21, 2007